

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,127	07/29/2003		Chris E. Barns	ITL.1016US (P16703)	5928
21906	7590	10/06/2004		EXAMINER	
TROP PRU		•	DUONG, KHANH B		
8554 KATY FREEWAY SUITE 100				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HOUSTON, TX 77024				2822	
				DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(L)
\sim

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/629,127	BARNS ET AL.				
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Khanh Duong	2822				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 21 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);	•				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	· · · ——					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5.☑ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☒ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	· , , —	•				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	 7				
10. Other:						
	EV. /	AMIR ZARABIAN ISORY PATENT EXAMINER				
S. Patent and Trademark Office		INOLOGY CENTER 2800				
	. 20.	·				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 10/629,127

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Lee expressly discloses in FIGs. 5 and 6 the presence of mask 26 during the silicidation process also contributed to the preventing of silicide formation on the first polysilicon gate structure 10 (see FIG. 5 and 6). In addition, the claimed limitations do not preclude layer 12 on both sides of the first polysilicon gate structure 10 as being interpreted as a sidewall spacer .